

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	Case No. 4:07CR3061
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	TENTATIVE
)	FINDINGS
TREVOR CHILDERS,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

I am in receipt of the revised presentence investigation report in this case. Except for the defendant's motion for departure (filing 29-1), there are no objections or motions for departure or variance.

IT IS ORDERED that:

(1) The undersigned will consult and follow the Guidelines to the extent permitted and required by *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220(2005) and subsequent cases. *See, e.g., Rita v. United States*, --- S.Ct. ----, 2007 WL 1772146 (2007). In this regard, the undersigned gives notice that, unless otherwise ordered, he will (a) give the advisory Guidelines substantial weight¹; (b) resolve all factual disputes relevant to sentencing by the greater weight of the evidence and without the aid of a jury; (c) impose upon the government the burden of proof on all Guideline-enhancements; (d) impose upon the defendant the burden of proof on all Guideline-mitigators; (e) depart from the advisory Guidelines, if appropriate, using pre-Booker departure theory; and (f) in cases where a departure using pre-Booker departure theory is not warranted, deviate or vary from the Guidelines when there is a plainly superior, principled reason which justifies a sentence different than that called for by

¹This does *not* mean that I will apply a "presumption." That is a choice for appellate courts to make. As I have done in the past, I will filter the Guidelines' general advice through §3553(a)'s list of factors.

application of the advisory Guidelines.²

(2) Even if I take as true the specific facts (as opposed to the conclusions) recited in the submissions of the defendant (filing 31 (Statement of Position), filing 29-1 (Motion) and filing 29-2 (Brief)), I will not depart. The defendant is a 27 year old man with no criminal history and he is charged with possessing hundreds of images of child pornography. The fact that he was addicted to pornography, the fact that following these charges, he engaged in counseling and has done well in that work, the fact that he has not violated pretrial release conditions, and the fact that he has no criminal history, taken together or in isolation, do move this case out of the “heartland” of child pornography cases. If anything, and sadly, the defendant is fairly typical. Thus, departure³ from the advisory Guidelines is not warranted and the motion (filing 29-1) is denied.

(3) Except to the extent (if at all) that I have sustained an objection or granted a motion or reserved an issue for later resolution in the preceding paragraph, the parties are herewith notified that my tentative findings are that the presentence report is correct in all respects.

(4) If **any** party wishes to challenge these tentative findings, said party shall, as soon as possible, but in any event at least five (5) business days before sentencing, file in the court file and serve upon opposing counsel and the court a motion

²See note 1.

³I am required to consider a non-Guidelines sentence (a “variance”) when I apply the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553 at sentencing. I will do so. That said, the probation officer has indicated that he “has not identified any factors under 18 U.S.C. [§] 3553(a) that may warrant a variance and imposition of a non-guideline sentence.” *PSR* ¶ 81. I am tentatively inclined to agree with him, but, of course, I will hear the parties at sentencing.

challenging these tentative findings, supported by (a) such evidentiary materials as are required (giving due regard to the requirements of the local rules of practice respecting the submission of evidentiary materials), (b) a brief as to the law and (c) if an evidentiary hearing is requested, a statement describing why an evidentiary hearing is necessary and how long such a hearing would take.

(5) Absent submission of the information required by the preceding paragraph of this order, my tentative findings may become final and the presentence report may be adopted and relied upon by me without more.

(6) Unless otherwise ordered, any motion challenging these tentative findings shall be resolved at sentencing.

August 17, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Richard G. Kopf
United States District Judge